ORDER SHEET West Bengal Administrative Tribunal

Present.-

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag &

The Hon'ble Dr. Subesh Kumar Das

Case No. <u>OA-532 of 2019</u>

Serial No. and date of order Order of the Tribunal with signature Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary. 1 2 03 03/12/2019 For the Applicants : Mr. A. Hati, Ld. Advocate. For the State Respondent : N O N E. For the A.G., W.B. : Mr. B. Mitra, Depttl. Representative. Affidavit of Service filed on behalf of the applicants today is kept on record. Mr. Hati, Learned Counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants are willing to withdraw the present application, but they must be given liberty to file afresh on the same cause of action in individual capacity of each of them. We have heard Mr. Mitra, the Departmental Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. None appears on behalf of the state respondents inspite of service of notice. In view of submission made by Learned Counsel for the applicants, the original application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the applicants to file fresh application on the same cause of action in individual capacity of each of them.	Bijali Dhar & Ors. Versus The State of V		West Bengal & Others.
03 image: state image: s	date of order	with signature	and dated signature of
03 03/12/2019Ld. Advocate.For the State Respondent : NONE.For the A.G., W.B. : Mr. B. Mitra, Depttl. Representative.Affidavit of Service filed on behalf of the applicants today is kept on record.Mr. Hati, Learned Counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants are willing to withdraw the present application, but they must be given liberty to file afresh on the same cause of action in individual capacity of each of them. We have heard Mr. Mitra, the Departmental Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. None appears on behalf of the state respondents inspite of service of notice.In view of submission made by Learned Counsel for the applicants, the original application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the applicants to file fresh application on the same cause of action in	1		3
For the A.G., W.B. : Mr. B. Mitra, Depttl. Representative. Affidavit of Service filed on behalf of the applicants today is kept on record. Mr. Hati, Learned Counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants are willing to withdraw the present application, but they must be given liberty to file afresh on the same cause of action in individual capacity of each of them. We have heard Mr. Mitra, the Departmental Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. None appears on behalf of the state respondents inspite of service of notice. In view of submission made by Learned Counsel for the applicants, the original application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the applicants to file fresh application on the same cause of action in		Ld. Advocate.	
Depttl. Representative. Affidavit of Service filed on behalf of the applicants today is kept on record. Mr. Hati, Learned Counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants are willing to withdraw the present application, but they must be given liberty to file afresh on the same cause of action in individual capacity of each of them. We have heard Mr. Mitra, the Departmental Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. None appears on behalf of the state respondents inspite of service of notice. In view of submission made by Learned Counsel for the applicants, the original application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the applicants to file fresh application on the same cause of action in		For the State Respondent : $N O N E$.	
applicants today is kept on record. Mr. Hati, Learned Counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants are willing to withdraw the present application, but they must be given liberty to file afresh on the same cause of action in individual capacity of each of them. We have heard Mr. Mitra, the Departmental Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. None appears on behalf of the state respondents inspite of service of notice. In view of submission made by Learned Counsel for the applicants, the original application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the applicants to file fresh application on the same cause of action in			
submits that the applicants are willing to withdraw the present application, but they must be given liberty to file afresh on the same cause of action in individual capacity of each of them. We have heard Mr. Mitra, the Departmental Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. None appears on behalf of the state respondents inspite of service of notice. In view of submission made by Learned Counsel for the applicants, the original application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the applicants to file fresh application on the same cause of action in			
the present application, but they must be given liberty to file afresh on the same cause of action in individual capacity of each of them. We have heard Mr. Mitra, the Departmental Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. None appears on behalf of the state respondents inspite of service of notice. In view of submission made by Learned Counsel for the applicants, the original application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the applicants to file fresh application on the same cause of action in		Mr. Hati, Learned Counsel for the applicants	
to file afresh on the same cause of action in individual capacity of each of them. We have heard Mr. Mitra, the Departmental Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. None appears on behalf of the state respondents inspite of service of notice. In view of submission made by Learned Counsel for the applicants, the original application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the applicants to file fresh application on the same cause of action in		submits that the applicants are willing to withdraw	
capacity of each of them. We have heard Mr. Mitra, the Departmental Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. None appears on behalf of the state respondents inspite of service of notice. In view of submission made by Learned Counsel for the applicants, the original application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the applicants to file fresh application on the same cause of action in		the present application, but they must be given liberty	
the Departmental Representative of the respondent A.G., W.B. None appears on behalf of the state respondents inspite of service of notice. In view of submission made by Learned Counsel for the applicants, the original application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the applicants to file fresh application on the same cause of action in			
A.G., W.B. None appears on behalf of the state respondents inspite of service of notice. In view of submission made by Learned Counsel for the applicants, the original application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the applicants to file fresh application on the same cause of action in			
respondents inspite of service of notice. In view of submission made by Learned Counsel for the applicants, the original application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the applicants to file fresh application on the same cause of action in			
In view of submission made by Learned Counsel for the applicants, the original application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the applicants to file fresh application on the same cause of action in			
for the applicants, the original application is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the applicants to file fresh application on the same cause of action in		respondents inspite of service of notice.	
dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the applicants to file fresh application on the same cause of action in		In view of submission made by Learned Counsel	
to file fresh application on the same cause of action in			
individual capacity of each of them.		to file fresh application on the same cause of action in	
		individual capacity of each of them.	
Csm S. K. DAS R. K. BAG MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)	Csm		